

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/981,654		01/08/1998	YASUSHI KANEKO	971480	8315	
23850	7590	05/07/2002				
		STERMAN & H	EXAMINER			
SUITE 1000	1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000				NGUYEN, DUNG T	
WASHING	TON, DC	20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 08/981,654 Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary Examiner

**Dung Nguyen** 

Art Unit 2871

Kaneko et al.

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	or Reply	TO 5//DIST. 0 MONTHS: TOO!	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
- if the s	j date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.	
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the meiling date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 19, 20	002	
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This acti	ion is non-final.	:
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is the Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 3-18	is/are pending in the applica	tion.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>4-18</u>	is/are withdrawn from cons	ideratio
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 3	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election req	luirement
Applica	ition Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de		
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved by disapproved by the	Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	<i>•</i> .	
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.	
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No.	_ ·
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bures see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ol>		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
14)∟ a) [			
15)[]	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachm			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) 🗌 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated 02/19/2002 has been received and entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amstutz et al., US Patent No. 4,634,229, in view of Furuta, US Patent No. 5,699,133, as stated in the previous office action.

Although claims 1 is now amended, such amendment "liquid crystal device performs white display utilizing birefringence of said liquid crystal when voltage is not applied thereto, and performs black display when driven" is not sufficient to overcome its rejection in the previous office action.

Applicant is reminded that Amstutz et al. do disclose that axes of the polarizing plates are orthogonal to each other as shown in figure 4 (i.e.,  $\beta + \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ). In other words, the Amstutz et al. LCD can be operated in normally-white (i.e., liquid crystal device performs white display utilizing birefringence of said liquid crystal when voltage is not applied thereto, and performs black display when driven by applying a voltage)

Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

In response to Applicants' argument that Amstutz et al. do not disclose the applying voltage of 10V to 20V, the Examiner agrees that Applicants' view point; however, Furuta does disclose that the applied voltage can be 10 to 20V (figure 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply a voltage as shown by Furuta in the Amstutz et al. device since it is a common practice in the art to use a high voltage for a liquid crystal shutter in order to obtain a high light transmission (col. 3, ln. 26).

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 1 and 3 stand.

#### Conclusion

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 02/19/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as stated above.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 05/01/2002

William L. Sikes

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 2871